UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Hon. Susan D. Wigenton, U.S.D.J.

 \mathbf{v} .

MARC SORRENTINO and MICHAEL SORRENTINO,

Defendants.

Criminal No. 14-558 (SDW)

ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE

This matter having been opened to the Court by defendant, Marc Sorrentino, by and through his counsel (Christopher D. Adams, Esq., appearing), on notice to and with the consent of co-defendant, Michael Sorrentino (Richard Sapinski, Esq., appearing) and Paul J. Fishman, United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (Jonathan Romankow, Assistant U.S. Attorney, appearing), for an order granting a continuance of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter, and good cause having been shown and by consent of the parties, the Court makes the following findings:

1. The discovery in the case is voluminous, in that it includes, among other things, voluminous records in both digital and hard copy format.

- 2. The defendant and his counsel need time to review the extensive discovery in this matter.
- 3. In light of the allegations, the defendant needs sufficient time to investigate the charges.
- 4. In light of the discovery and depending upon the results of the defense investigation, defendant will need time to determine whether or not to file motions in this matter and what motions should be filed.
- 5. In light of these findings, and given the nature of the case and its complexity, it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established under the Speedy Trial Act, the October 23, 2014 Order for Discovery and Inspection, and the November 24, 2014 continuance previously entered by this Court.
- 6. Both the government and the co-defendant, Michael Sorrentino, consent to the aforementioned continuance.
- 7. The grant of a continuance will enable counsel for the defendants to adequately review the discovery, prepare motions and proceed with trial.
- 8. Pursuant to Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3161(h) (8), the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

WHEREFORE, it is on this day of January, 2015

ORDERED that the this matter is hereby designated a complex

case;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the proceedings in the abovecaptioned matter are continued for a period of ninety days, thereby resulting in the following schedule:

Pretrial Motions filed:

July 17, 2015

Opposition due:

August 14, 2015

Motions hearing:

September 4, 2015

Trial:

September 14, 2015

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period between March 2, 2015 and September 14, 2015 shall be excludable in computing time under the Speedy Trial Act of 1974;

D

United States District Judge

I hereby consent to the form and entry of this order

Christopher D. Adams, Esq..

(Attorney for Defendant, Marc Sorrentino)

Richard Sapinski, Esq..

(Attorney for Defendant, Michael Sorrentino)

AUSA Jonathan Romankow

Attorney for United States of America)

WHEREFORE, it is on this day of January, 2015

ORDERED that the this matter is hereby designated a complex

case;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the proceedings in the abovecaptioned matter are continued for a period of ninety days, thereby resulting in the following schedule:

Pretrial Motions filed:

July 17, 2015

Opposition due:

August 14, 2015

Motions hearing:

September 4, 2015

Trial:

September 14, 2015

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period between March 2, 2015 and September 14, 2015 shall be excludable in computing time under the Speedy Trial Act of 1974;

HON. SUSAN D. WIGENTON United States District Judge

I hereby consent to the form and entry of this order

Christopher D. Adams, Esq..

(Attorney for Defendant, Marc Sorrentino)

Richard Sapinski, Esq..

(Attorney for Defendant, Michael Sorrentino)

AUSA Jonathan Romankow

(Attorney for United States of America)